

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
SAN JOSE VENUE

Defendant.

**STIPULATION AND [proposed]  
ORDER TO CONTINUE STATUS  
HEARING**

The parties stipulate and agree that excluding time will allow for the effective preparation of counsel, See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv). The parties further stipulate and agree that ends of justice served by excluding the time from July 12, 2022,

/

/

/

/

1 through August 9, 2022, from computation under the Speedy Trial Act outweighs the best interests  
2 of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A),(B)(iv).

3 IT IS SO STIPULATED.

4 DATED: July 8, 2022

\_\_\_\_\_/s/\_\_\_\_\_  
Michael Pitman  
Assistant United States Attorney

6 DATED: July 8, 2022

\_\_\_\_\_/s/\_\_\_\_\_  
Christopher J. Cannon  
Attorney for Dhirendra Prasad

9  
10 **[PROPOSED] ORDER**

11 Based upon the facts set forth in the stipulation of the parties and for good cause shown,  
12 the status conference set in this matter for July 12, 2022, is continued to August 9, 2022. The  
13 Court also finds that failing to exclude the time from July 12, 2022, through August 9, 2022,  
14 would unreasonably deny defense counsel and the defendant the reasonable time necessary for  
15 effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. 18 U.S.C. §  
16 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv). The Court further finds that the ends of justice served by excluding the time  
17 from July 12, 2022, through August 9, 2022, from computation under the Speedy Trial Act  
18 outweigh the best interests of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. Therefore, and with  
19 the consent of the parties, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the time from July 12, 2022, through  
20 August 9, 2022, shall be excluded from computation under the Speedy Trial Act. 18 U.S.C. §  
21 3161(h)(7)(A), (B)(iv).

22  
23 IT IS SO ORDERED.

24  
25 DATE:

\_\_\_\_\_  
The Honorable Beth Labson Freeman  
United States District Judge